



NLRB 2014 Election Rule Request for Information Resources

[December 21, 2017 – Letter to NLRB on 2014 Election Rule](#)

After the NLRB issued its Request for Information on December 14, 2017, Congress requested comprehensive data regarding the implementation of the 2014 Election Rule. This letter itemized 23 specific requests for data about how election procedures have operated before and after the 2014 Election Rule.

[February 15, 2018 – NLRB Response to Congress on 2014 Election Rule](#)

[Summary of Congressional Data](#)

[RD Decisions – Vote Subject to Challenge](#)

[Technical 8\(a\)\(5\) Violation Statistics – FY2014-2017](#)

[Congressional Response – RD Orders Rescheduling or Denying](#)

The NLRB produced responses to Congressional requests for data. However, the NLRB left 12 out of the 23 queries totally or partially unanswered. The NLRB maintained that it “do[es] not have data elements that track” the requested information. However, the data produced by the NLRB demonstrated that the 2014 Election Rule has been successful.

[March 28, 2018 – Letter to NLRB Requesting Election Rule Data](#)

To follow up on the outstanding requests for data, Congress wrote the NLRB on March 28 to explain how the information sought in the outstanding requests is highly relevant to the NLRB’s request for information, and within the NLRB’s possession. The NLRB has the documents from which to acquire the information, and should not refuse to produce data simply because doing so would require more than a series of keyword searches.

[April 13, 2018 – NLRB Response to Congressional Request for Election Rule Data](#)

[Congressional Response – MPP Pre-Election Hearings](#)

[Election Agreement – Vote Subject to Challenge](#)

The NLRB responded to four of the 12 outstanding requests, but to date eight of Congress’s original requests for data remain wholly or partially unanswered. In its April 13 letter, the NLRB provided data that demonstrates that employers have filed fewer motions to postpone the pre-election hearing, despite employer claims that such hearings have been held too quickly since the 2014 Election Rule became effective.